by

MANLY W. MUMFORD



COPYRIGHT 1989 BY MANLY W. MUMFORD (All rights reserved)

Bisected by the tropic of cancer at a distance of 3,000 nautical miles west of the tip of Baja California and 3,600 miles east by south of Japan, the Hawaiian Islands have been called "the most isolated archipelago in the world." They form the northern point of the Polynesian triangle with Easter Island at the southeast corner and New Zealand at the southwest. The Marquesas Islands are 2000 miles south-southeast and Tahiti is about the same distance due south of Hawaii. The few small islands that are closer are not of importance for this paper.

In the summer of 1980 my family and I spent a few days on the Island of Kauai in Hawaii and there became aware of the legend of the Menehune—little people who lived in the interior jungle, who were there before the Polynesian Hawaiians, who excelled in building with stone, who worked only at night and who disappeared long ago. There are several examples of stoncwork attributed to the Menehune that tourists are encouraged to view, including a watercourse called the "Menehune Ditch" and a fishpond. A couple of guidebooks aggravated my curiosity with such comments as the following:²

Did the *Menehunes* really exist? you will see examples of very sophisticated fitted stone on Kauai which are found nowhere else in the Islands. And even the journals of Captain James Cook tell of a servant class on Kauai—men and women he saw who were shorter and light-skinned.

For more than 150 years the Hawaiians have been telling us that the *Menehunes* simply went away one day. According to legends, they pulled up stakes and disappeared into one of the valleys along the Na Pali Coast. The Hawaiians say they may be living there still.

One guide-book legend avers that the King of Kauai, for a service I'll mention later, rewarded the Menehunes with a great feast and the Hawaiians had not seen them since.

Knowing of the cannibalistic practices of the Polynesians who settled in New Zealand, I wondered whether the Mcnchunes were really the guests of honor at that feast or the principal dish. The opportunity to deliver this paper to The Chicago Literary Club became an opportunity to examine the matter.

Early on, I learned that the Hawaiians did not practice cannibalism. After killing Captain Cook they scraped the flesh from his bones for some other, ritualistic purpose, and did not consume his flesh, even though by the standards of some cannibals, to do so would have conferred much benefit upon them.

Nevertheless, the mystery of who the Menehunes were and whence they came and whither they went left me with more appetite than before. The notion that they were in Hawaii before the Polynesians reminded me of the efforts of Thor Heyerdahl to prove that the Polynesians really came from South America some time before the Inca civilization there. Heyerdahl found no one would take his theory seriously, in part at least, because it was generally believed that the South American Indians lacked the vessels necessary to cross thousands of miles of open ocean. Heyerdahl sought to dispell this view by building a raft according to specifications he purported to have elicited from early Spanish accounts of the vessels in use by the Indians at the time of Pizzaro; then he and five others sailed it across the Pacific to one of the islands well south and west of Hawaii. Following this trip Heyerdahl wrote a very popular book about the adventure, which was published around 1950 and brought him much more money and fame that sound archaeology and anthropology would have.

Yet if there were people who pre-dated the Polynesians in Hawaii, The question of where they came from would not be answered by the painstaking comparisons of pottery, languages, crops, livestock, mythologies, structures, apparel, customs and skeletons that convince real scientists of the emergence of the Polynesians from southeast Asia starting around 1,000 B.C. Aborigines or not, if the Menehunes were a separate racial stock, they might have come from somewhere else, such as South

America. I began to speculate.

If you go to the Peruvian Andes you will find that the pre-Incas well knew the art of sophisticated stone masonry, and that their descendants are quite small by North American standards. Hawaiian Polynesians are large. If the first settlers came from the mountainous jungle east of the Cordillera of the Andes, they might have preferred the interior jungle of a mountainous tropical island to the coast, not only because it would be like home, but also because the strong sunlight reflected from the sea and sand would hurt eyes and skin that had been raised in the shade of jungle growth. When working on the coast, such people might well prefer the night, or at least the evening and early morning, and this could be the basis for the legend that they work only at night. The legends say that the Menehune required only small quantities of food, and I recall reading somewhere that the caloric intake of the present Quechua Indians is much smaller than that of people of European descent.

My speculation foundered, not on evidence but on a question—if South American Indians settled in Polynesia why didn't they bring corn? Not only was corn a major food source, but it was the main ingredient of Cheecha—a fermented grain beverage that served, and still serves, the Indians of the Peruvian Andes as beer. I can't believe that anyone having beer would colonize anywhere without bringing seed for a grain from which to make it.

It was time to hit the books.

One ancient tome had a preface reading, in part:

The Hawaiian Club, Boston, having proposed publication of various material relating to the country from which it derives its name, appointed, in the summer of 1868, an Editing Committee . . . and this Committee, allowed to furnish most of the contents, prepared and published 'The Hawaiian Club Papers, October, 1868.' (124 pages octavo.)

... 'A Catalogue of Works published at, or relating to, the Hawaiian Islands,' formed one of these 'Papers.'

I concluded that such a bibliography, published almost 120 years ago would not refer me to any recently contrived interpretations of Hawaiian history. By now any such contrivances will have received a patina of respectable age.

That "Paper" of the Hawaiian Club, Boston, included the following:

The discovery of the Hawaiian Islands by civilized people is commonly considered an achievement by the British Captain James Cook, in 1778-9, and his visit, indeed, practically introduced them to the knowledge of the world. But the bold explorers and agents of a power that in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries made the wide Pacific, 'from Borneo to California, but a Spanish lake,' had, long before 1778, learned their existence and chief natural characteristics. . . .

By all the documents that have been examined, it is demonstrated that the discovery dates from the year 1555, or two hundred and twenty-three years before Captain Cook surveyed those islands; and that the discoverer was Juan Gaetano, or Gaytan, who gave names to the principal islands of that archipelago.

While on a voyage as supercargo of a sealing ship in 1786, one Ebeneezer Townsend, Jr. visited Hawaii and entered the following in his diary:³

It is very much doubted whether Capt. Cook was the first discoverer of these islands; it in fact appears pretty evident that he was not; however, he may be entitled to the credit of it, provided he received no aid in the discovery and the Spaniards from policy obliterated the discovery of their own navigators. I presume Cook knew nothing of them before he saw them, but as the Spaniards had laid down a group of islands in the same latitude, a few degrees eastward of the Sandwich Islands, where there are none, it is likely to be the same, for at that time they could not be supposed to be very correct in their longitude. There is at Mowee (Maui) the ring and part of the shank of an anchor of about seven hundred weight which was not long ago hooked up there, where there is no recollection of there ever having been a vessel, and from the appearance it must have been there a great many years. Mr. Young says they have a tradition that a couple of white men came on shore and remained there about one hundred and fifty years ago. They landed in a small vessell covered over with skins and he had seen their descendants which satisfied him of the truth of the story, and that they were white.

The comment about obliteration by the Spaniards of the discovery of their own navigators probably refers to the fact that the islands appeared at the right latitude but the wrong longitude on Spanish charts

shortly after Gaetano's voyage but then were dropped from later charts.

As most aptly pointed out by my fellow Literary Club member Richardson Spofford in his recent paper about the invention of the chronometer for use in celestial navigation, no one could accurately determine the longitude of a ship at sea by celestial navigation until the middle of the 19th century because the necessary determination requires locating known fixed stars at a known instant. At the equator an error of a minute in time would produce a 17 mile error of longitude. Further, the Spanish navigators of that era did not know the size of either the Pacific Ocean or the Earth. Columbus' views put Japan in the Virgin Islands.⁴

In a 1938 paper delivered to the Hawaii Historical Society⁵ John F.G. Stokes attacked the theory that Hawaii was discovered by Spaniards in 16th century, using a number of arguments to demonstrate weaknesses in the Spanish claim but that attack stumbled on the unprovability of a negative. Stokes presumed that if the Spanish had known of a group of islands full of pagans they would have tried to convert their souls as in many other lands. My own impression of the 16th century Spaniards was that they were mostly interested in converting heathens who had gold; the Hawaiians had none.

Why the islands that Gaetano reported were dropped from Spanish charts provides food for speculation: perhaps the Spaniards did not want the English to learn about them; perhaps they were considered useless and, since off the prescribed sea lanes, not reportable as obstacles to navigation. It often happened that a navigator reported an island somewhere that subsequent navigators reported absent from the specified location; chartmakers should remove such islands from subsequent charts as erroneous information is worse than none. Samuel Eliot Morison said about a different ocean, "Consult any terrestrial globe a century or more old, and you will find the Atlantic fairly peppered with imaginary islands, rocks and 'reported breakers.' "6"

My best guess is that some later Spanish navigator looked for the islands at the place shown on the chart based on Gaetano's information, found no islands, and so reported. Thus Hawaii's undiscovered status was restored.

For the view of the Hawaiians themselves, I refer to Emma Metcalf Nakuina's book⁷ about the Hawaiians and their legends:

The Hawaiian people, as a whole, never felt particularly grateful to Captain Cook for discovering them to the European civilized world, nor do they hold his memory in any very great esteem.

In short, the Spanish may have discovered Hawaii, but maybe they didn't; and if they did it doesn't count because they later undiscovered it; besides, the Hawaiians should have been left undiscovered.

Regardless of who should get the credit or blame for the European discovery of Hawaii, it is clear that the Polynesians discovered it previously. In the earlier part of this century some scholars believed that the first inhabitants of Hawaii were a race called the Menehune. Such views were supported to some extent by local legends. More recent scholars tend to rely on evidence dug up by archaeologists and heard by linguists. A recent compilation of essays was published in 1979 under the title, *The Prehistory of Polynesia*, edited by Jesse D. Jennings.

Jennings' introduction reads, in part:

"Pioneer explorers called Lapita, from Southeast island Asia (specifically off the eastern tip of New Guinea), reached both Tonga and Samoa by 1000 B.C. The first eastward movement farther into the Pacific is recorded for the Marquesas by A.D. 300. Thence went two groups, one to Easter Island by A.D. 400 and the other to Hawaii by A.D. 500. It is possible that another group went to the Societies shortly after their arrival in the Marquesas, but that thrust has not been proved. Cerainly, a second movement to Tahiti (the Societies) occurred by A.D. 600 and from there to New Zealand by A.D. 800."

Secondary dispersals from Tahiti are believed to have occurred to Hawaii and New Zealand after A.D. 1000. According to linguistic studies, Hawaiian is most closely related to the language spoken by the natives of the Marquesas Islands, but there are several terms that are clearly Tahitian in origin. This and other evidence suggest that the Tahitian influence on the old Hawaiians was modest but noticeable. Recent writers that I've read have not speculated about the theory that the Tahitians came as conquerors and that the large size of individual members of the chiefly families was thus inherited.

One old legend reports that Paao, a priest from Samoa, found the Island of Hawaii without a king, returned to Kahiki and brought back

with him a chief named Pili, whom the priest established as king, and from whom the Kamehameha dynasty was descended. ¹⁰ Many Hawaiian legends refer to a place called "Kahiki;" this word seems to be the same that we pronounce "Tahiti" and is often used in referring to the entire group of the Society Islands, including Tahiti.

The crops and livestock that were brought with the Polynesians who migrated thus included taro, yam, breadfruit, bananas, sugarcane and dogs, pigs and chickens, all of which were of southeast Asian origin. They also brought sweet potatoes which came from South America and for which the Polynesians used the same name as was used in South America. One who studied this phenomenon concludes that the sweet potato was introduced into central East Polynesia (possibly the Marquesas) relatively early after original settlement.¹¹

Heyerdahl used the sweet potato to support his Polynesians-were-American-Indians theory, neglecting the other crops and livestock. The sweet potato is indeed evidence of some contact between Polynesia and South America; but I believe that the Polynesians rather than the pre-Incas established it. We have little evidence that the Indians were good at finding small islands in a huge ocean, but the Polynesians clearly were expert. Further, a navigator sailing cast across the south Pacific would readily find South America if he sailed far enough. Westbound, a person applying traditional navigation without instruments would have to be very good to find a specific island. Recent voyages on a replica of an ancient Polynesian ocean-going canoe by people using the ancient methods of navigation between Hawaii and Tahiti have demonstrated the utility of those methods. Such a navigator has a great advantage in locating his home island, for, in addition to knowledge of the stars, the skills include an understanding of the normal wave patterns and the effect on them of islands beyond the horizon, the identification of local bird species with the knowledge of how far each flies from land and whether they roost ashore, and typical local wind and current behaviour.12

The occasional contact between Hawaii and other Polynesian islands came to an end before the arrival of the Europeans, and the ocean-crossing canoes had degenerated into vessels suitable only for use close to land.¹³

Before the arrival of Europeans, the Hawaiians were governed by chiefs and kings. There was often a king of each major island, though the

frequent wars subjugated one island to another's king from time to time. Kamehameha I managed to gain dominance over all the islands in the early part of the nineteenth century. According to Charles Nordhoff:¹⁴

The chief was supreme ruler over his people; they lived by his tolerance, for they owned absolutely nothing, neither land, nor house, nor food, nor wife, nor child. A high chief was approached only with abject gestures, and no one dared resist his acts or dispute his will.

As an illustration of the power of the old chiefs, as well as of the density of the populaton in former times, it is related that when the wall inclosing a certain fishpond on the windward side of Oahu was to be built, the chief then ruling over that land gave notice that on a certain day every man, woman, and child within his domain must appear at a designated point, bearing a stone. The wall, which stands yet, is half a mile long, well built, and probably six feet high; and it was begun and completed in that

one day.

Their government appears to have been a 'despotism tempered by assassination,' for great as was the respect exacted by a chief, and implicit as was the obedience he commanded, if he pushed his tyranny too far, his people rose and slew him. Thus on Kauai, in the lower part of the Hanapepe Valley, a huge cliff is shown, concerning which the tradition runs that it was once the residence of the chief who ruled this valley. This person, with a Titanic and Rabellaisian humor, was accustomed to descend into the valley in the evening, seize a baby and carry it to his stronghold to serve him as a pillow. Having slept upon it he slew it next morning; and thus with a refinement of luxury he required a fresh baby every evening. When patience had ceased to be a virtue, according to our more modern formula, the people went up one night and knocked his brains out; and there was a change of dynasties.

Other writers as well have contributed to our understanding of ancient Hawaii.

The chiefs—that is, the aristocracy—and the commoners were generally of powerful physique. It is related of the First Kamehameha, that in battle he frequently took hold of an opponent, and, lifting him high over his head, would break him in half.¹⁵

* *

There were, however, some restraints on the arbitrary power of the chief. His tenants could leave him and enter the service of a more popular chief, and the frequent wars obliged him to pay some regard to those upon whom he would have to depend in the day of battle.¹⁶

Crimes such as theft were punished by private revenge, unless the injured party was too weak, when he either had recourse to sorcery or appealed to the chief, who dispensed a rude kind of justice. Executions were generally secret. The chief's *ilamuku* or executioner often did his work at the dead of night, when his victim was asleep.¹⁷

It was in such a civilization, or at least culture, that we must look for the principal topic of this paper, the Menehunes.

In one collection of Hawaiian myths, 18 the Menehunes are described as—

A race of mythical dwarfs from two to three feet in height, who were possessed of great strentth; a race of pygmies who were squat, tremendously strong, powerfully built, and very ugly of face. They were credited with the building of many temples, roads and other structures. Trades among them were well-systematized, every Menehune being restricted to his own particular craft in which he was a master. It was believed that they would work only one night on a construction and if unable to complete the work, it was left undone.

Other parts of the legends suggest that the Menehunes were invisible by day, that they lived in the hills rather than along the shores like the Polynesian Hawaiians, that they had no fire, and that they conversed in a harsh tongue, like the low growl of a dog, that no one else could understand.

The legends are by no means consistent in describing the Menehunes—some declare them to be two or three feet high, another claims the Menehunes did not reach the knees of a dwarf who was only three

feet high, and a reported sighting of a Menehune in Honolulu described the creature as being only four or five inches tall.

Their dwelling place was in the mountains, above Waimea, near, perhaps to a place knows as Waineki. 19 There was where this race were frequently seen. Their houses were of banana leaves; their conversation was a kind of murmur, like the low growl of a dog; they were loud-voiced in their laughter, and were in perfect accord in all their undertakings and manner of living. They subsisted on bananas, silver sides and shrimps. The Menehunes' hunger was satisfied with one or two bananas, or a single handful of small fish sufficed for each. Thus silversides and shrimps were the feast to suply this people on account of their great number, whereby they were able to perform important tasks in a single night and complete by dawn the work undertaken.

Some legends associate the Menehunes with a mysterious floating island that appears and disappears more or less randomly. The following²⁰ is typical.

Keawe-ahu, a harsh and exacting chief, was taken to sea in a canoe, tricked into jumping overboard, and deserted. He closed his eyes to think for a while and on opening them saw a beautiful floating island to which he swam. On going ashore he found a Menehune maiden whom he married and by whom he begat a son. Only she and her parents lived there and they did not know the use of fire, which he taught them. The island floated about, occasionally coming near Kona, where the chief came from, but he preferred the floating island until he got bored. Then he took his son on his shoulders and swam back to Kona when the island floated near it again.

Many ancient stone temples, fishponds and other works are attributed to the Menehunes. One of the most famous of these works is a watercourse or aqueduct now generally called the "Menehune Ditch" but also called the Kikiaola watercourse. There are several versions of the legend of its construction; one of them²¹ is as follows:

Pi owned the land at Kikialoa on the western side of the river and he wanted to construct a *mano* or dam across the river, and from the dam a water-course down to Kikialoa. Having settled upon the location for his proposed work, he went up the mountains and made a contract with the

Menehunes that were living near Puu Ka Pole to prepare stones for the dam and the watercourse. The Menehunes were partitioned off for the work, some to gather stones and others to cut them. The cutting of the stones was performed at Mokihana on the top of the ridge and some of the stones were left there, some fully cut and others partly cut. All the material was ready in very little time, and Pi settled upon the night when the work was to be done. When the time came, he went to the point where the dam was to be built and waited. At the dead of the night he hears the noise and hum of the voices of the Menehunes, on their way to Kikiaola, each of whom was carrying a stone. The dam was fully constructed, every stone fitting it its proper place, and also the stone auwai, or water-course and through the same on to the flats at Waimea. When the work was done Pi served out food to the Menehunes, which consisted of one shrimp, (opae), this being the only kind of meat to be had in sufficient quantity to supply each Menehune with one fish or opae. . . . They were well supplied and satisfied, and at dawn returned to their home in the mountains rejoicing, and the hum of their voices gave rise to the saying: "Wawa ka Menehune i Puukapele ma Kauai, puohu na manu o na loko o Kawainui ma Koolaupoko, Oahu—The hum of the voices of the Menehunes at Puu Ka Pele, Kauai, startled the birds at the pond of Kawainui at Koolaupoko, Oahu."

A second version of the tale suggests that Pi was the foreman of Chief Ola, who wanted to have the watercourse completed to irrigate his people's taro patches, but that previous attempts by local labor were unstable and fell down. Pi then imported the Menehunes (who had previously lived in Hawaii but moved to New Zealand) to return to Kauai to do the work. When the work was completed, the chief of the Menehunes found that his men were taking Hawaiian wives and required them and their first born sons to leave and return with him to New Zealand to protect the "purity of the race." 22

A third version holds that Chief Ola was told by the god Kane that the watercourse could be properly completed only with the help of the Menehunes and with the sacrifice of himself, the chief. Ola agreed, and when the watercourse was completed, he was sacrificed voluntarily.²⁸

However it happened, the watercourse was built, and was in use when the first Europeans visited the area.

Captain Cook did not explore Kauai enough to see the Menehune Ditch, but Vancouver did. His description²⁴ reads:

As we proceeded, our attention was arrested by an object that greatly excited our admiration, and at once put an end to all conjecture on the means to which the natives resorted for the watering of their plantations. A lofty perpendicular cliff now presented itself, which, by rising immediately from the river, would effectually have stopped our further progress into the country, had it not been for an exceedingly well constructed wall of stones and clay about twenty-four feet high, raised from the bottom by the side of the cliff, which not only served as a pass into the country, but also as an aqueduct, to convey the water brought thither by great labour from a considerable distance; the place where the river descends from the mountains affording the planters an abundant stream, for the purpose to which it is so advantageously applied. This wall, which did no less credit to the mind of the projector than to the skill of the builder, terminated the extent of our walk.

According to Wendell Clark Bennett, the leading archaeologist of Kauai, 25 writing in 1931:

The noted Menehune ditch . . . is the acme of stone-faced ditches. The problem was that of carrying water, at a high level, around the corner of a jutting cliff. An added difficulty was the necessity of placing the base of the causeway in the river itself where it was constantly in danger of being washed away by a freshet.

More recent writers report the fate of the watercourse thus:26

The area has been changed considerably since the description of Vancouver. In the 1890s a "horse road" was built "on the bank of the Peekauai water-course at Kiki-a-'Ola...." Soon afterwards, a government road replaced the "horse road." Sugar plantation construction also changed the aqueduct. Around the turn of the century Waimea Plantation built an irrigation ditch and used a tunnel through the cliff to carry water from the inland area to the lowlands. No evidence of a wall around the cliff face remained. In 1924, more plantation improvement to ditch and road changed the area and destroyed more of the ancient Hawaiian ditch.

Ditch stones from the ancient site have also been said to have been used in and around Waimea. Menehune Ditch stones are said to have been used in the building of the Protestant Church, called the Foreign church, in the Kekaha Plantation Mill and the Waimea Ice works. Other

stones were used by a Waimea stone cutter for tombstones, by one of Waimea's sheriffs for a stone wall in front of his home, and the like.

Little of the old watercourse is left now; the water to irrigate the high land on the other side of the cliff from the river now flows through a tunnel bored by a sugar company. Much of the old ditch is covered by a road

Alas for the Menehunes! Not only have their works been dismantled and their stones used as the stones of Rome were used by the Vandals, but the substance of their myth has been commercialized so that images, purportedly of Menchunes, have been used in advertising lingerie, candy and automobiles.²⁷ Under the circumstances, I consider that the kindest thing that can be done for them has been done by an Anthropology professor at the University of Hawaii. In a book with 84 pages of text and two and a half pages of bibliography, entitled *The Menehune of Polynesia and Other Mythical Little People of Oceania*, Professor Katharine Luomala has explored the legends and whatever evidence there might be to support them. One paragraph²⁸ provides the *coup de grace*, leaving little room for the romantic, sensational or supernatural explanations hinted at by the guidebooks.

Material relating to the physical anthropology of the Menehune leaves much to be desired, because people who profess to have seen Menehune tend to be incoherent and because no Menehune skeletons have been submitted to anthropologists for study. Although Bishop Museum has thousands of ancient Hawaiian skeletons awaiting analysis, no preliminary survey by physical anthropologists has as yet spotted what might be a Menehune skeleton among the bones.

It may be that the Menehune didn't leave skeletons for the anthropologists to examine for any of several reasons, of course, and only one of these possible reasons is that they didn't exist. Living in the mountainous jungle of the interior of Kauai, they probably died there where the finding of bones before they decompose would be no easy matter. Or the Menehune may have actually been more nearly the size of normal human beings with skeletons to match and were excessively shortened by legend alone. Or it may be that the leader of the Menehune, on finding that many of his people were marrying Polynesians and fear-

ing for the purity of his race, summoned all the Menehune men and their first born sons and led them onto a three-layered floating island that moved away and disappeared.

FOOTNOTES

¹Feathered Gods and Fishooks, an Introduction to Hawaiian Archaeology and Prehistory by Patrick Vinton Kirch, University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 1985, P. 22.

²The Maverick Guide to Hawaii by Robert W. Bone, 1979 Edition, Pelican Pub-

lishing Company, 1979.

"Hawaiian Historical Society Reprints (1787,1788 and 1789)—Extracts from Voyages Made in the Years 1788 and 1789 from China to the Northwest Coast of America, with an Introductory Narrative of a Voyage performed in 1786, From Bengal, in the Ship "Nootka." Reprint No. 4, Extract from the Diary of Ebenezer Townsend, Jr. Supercargo of the Sealing Ship "Neptune" on her voyage to the South Pacific and Canton. P. 17.

⁴Admiral of the Ocean Sea by Samuel Eliot Morison, Little Brown and Compa-

ny, Boston, 1942, P. 68.

⁵"Hawaii's Discovery by Spaniards, Theories Traced and Refuted" by John F.G. Stokes; Hawaiian Historical Society Papers 1892-1940 #20, read before the Society November 18, 1938. Pp 38-113.

⁶Morison, P. 59.

⁷Hawaii Its People Their Legends by Emma Metcalf Nakuina, Hawaii Promotion Comittee, Honolulu 1904, P. 63.

⁸Ancient Hawaiian Civilization, a series of lectures delivered at The Kamhameha Schools, published and printed by The Kamehmeha Schools, 1933; Chapter 2, "Polynesian Migrations" by Peter H. Buck.

^oThe Prehistory of Polynesia, Jesse D. Jennings, editor, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass. and London, England, 1979, Introduction by Jennings, P. 2.

¹⁰A Brief History of the Hawaiian People by W.D. Alexander, published by order of the Board of Education of the Hawaiian Kingdom, American Book Company, New York, 1891. P. 21.

11Kirch, P. 65.

¹²An Ocean in Mind by Will Kyselka, University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 1987.

¹⁸Kirch, P. 66.

¹¹Northern California, Oregon and the Sandwich Islands by Charles Nordhoff; Sampson Low, Marston, Low & Searle, London, 1874 Chapter V—The Hawaiian at Home: Manners and Customs; P. 80.

- ¹⁵Nakuini, P. 13.
- ¹⁶Alexander, P. 28—footnote.
- ¹⁷Alexander, P. 29.
- ¹⁸The Menehune of Polynesia and Other Mythical Little People of Oceania by Katherine Luomala, Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 203, Published by the Museum, Honolulu, 1951, Kraus Reprint, Millwood, N.Y. 1986.
- ¹⁹⁴Story of the Race of Menehunes of Kauai" (Translation of contribution by J.H. Kaiwi, Hawaiian Annual for 1921, P.114.)
- ²⁰"Legend of the Floating Island", A Kauai version narrated by Mrs. S. Polane, of Kapaa. By J.M. Lydgate.
- ²¹ Archaeology of Kauai by Wendell Clark Bennett, Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 80, Published by the Museum, Honolulu, 1931, Kraus Reprint, Millwood, N.Y, 1985, P.23.
- ²²Hawaiian Mythology by Martha Beckwith, University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 1970; originally published in 1940 by Yale University Press for the Folklore Foundation of Vassar College, P. 327.
 - ²⁸Beckwith, P. 330.
 - ²⁴Bennett, P. 23.
 - ²⁵Bennett, P. 22.
- ⁹⁶A Cultural Resource Reconnaisance of the Waimea River Flood Contol Study Area, Kauai, Hawaii by Pauline King Joerger and Charles F. Streck Jr., P. 13.
 - ²⁷Luomala, P. 1.
 - ²⁸Luomala, P. 9.

This paper was written for The Chicago Literary Club and read before the Club on Monday evening, the Eleventh of January, Nineteen Hundred Eighty-Eight. This edition of two hundred fifty copies was printed for the Club in the month of September, Nineteen Hundred Eighty-Nine.